Relative efficacy of selective insecticides against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* H.) of chickpea

^{*}Javaid Iqbal¹, Syed Umar Farooq¹, Moazzam Jamil¹, Hafiz Azhar Ali Khan², Muhammad Younis³

¹University College of Agriculture & Environmental Sciences, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur.

²Institute of Agricultural Sciences, University of Punjab Lahore. ³Regional Agricultural Research Institute, Bahawalpur.

^{*}Corresponding author's email: aries_web@hotmail.com

Abstract

A field study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of five insecticides *viz.*, Emamectin 1.9 EC[®] (emamectin benzoate), Lannate 40SP[®] (methomyl), Coragen 20SP[®] (rynaxypyr), Match 50 EC[®] (lufenuron), Profenofos 50 EC[®] (profenofos) against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* H.) on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) variety BRC-390. The experiment was conducted in randomized complete block design with three replications at Regional Agricultural Research Institute, Bahawalpur. The highest mortality of gram pod borer was recorded in plots treated with Profenofos (85%, 90% & 94%) and Coragen (85%, 90% & 92%) at 3, 5 and 7 days after treatment (DAT), respectively. No plant mortality was recorded in untreated plots from 3 to 7 DAT. Thus, these insecticides proved highly effective for the management of gram pod borer on chickpea under field conditions.

Key words: Chickpea, gram pod borer, Helicoverpa armigera, insecticides.

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is an important legume crop that belongs to family Fabaceae. Asia contributes 90% of chickpea production in the world and Pakistan ranked third for its production (Ahmed and Awan, 2013). Chickpea seed is also important for its high nutritive value and enriched with vegetable protein, carbohydrate, cholesterol lowering fiber, oil, ash, calcium and phosphorus (Pittaway *et al.*, 2006; Muehlbauer and Rajesh, 2008). Chickpea plant is under threat of many insect pests that attack on its roots, foliage and pods (Rao and Shanower, 1999).

Gram Pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* H.) is one of the major insect pests of chickpea and has great economic importance (Ahmed and Awan, 2013). It is highly polyphagous insect feeding on many other crops such as cotton, tobacco, safflower, tomato, maize, cabbage, peanuts and pulses (Patankar *et al.*, 2001; Javed *et al.*, 2013). Leguminous crops such as chickpea are its major host resulted in substantial yield loss (37-50%) and in severe cases up to 90% pod damage (Lal, 1996; Yadava and Lal, 1997; Sarwar *et al.*, 2009). Single larva can damage 40 pods and selectively feeds upon growing points and reproductive parts of the host plant. It feeds on floral buds, flowers and young pods of the growing crop (Khan et al., 2009).

The wider host range, multiple generation, migratory behaviour and high fecundity of gram pod borer made it difficult to manage. The chemical control is still considered as the last resort for its management due to their quick known effect (Sreekanth, 2014). However, wise use of insecticide is the need of the time to avoid their drastic side effects on environment and natural biocontrol agents (Suhail et al., 2013). Thus, exploring new insecticides with high efficacy and unique mode of action has become imperative. In recent years, newer compounds with novel modes of action are being concentrated to check the infestation of gram pod borer. Keeping in view the severe attack of gram pod borer, the present study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of selected insecticides against the pod borer in chickpea crop under field conditions.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications at Regional Agricultural Research Institute, Bahawalpur. Five selective insecticides (Emamectin 1.9 EC, Lannate 40 SP, Coragen 20SC, Match 50 EC, Profenofos 50EC) along with

a control were tested in the experiment for their relative toxicity against gram pod borer on a chickpea variety BRC-390 (Table 1). These insecticides are abundantly available in the market and used against variety of insect pests of different crops. The chickpea seeds were sown at 0.3 m spacing between the rows and 10 cm distance between the plants. The plot size was $4 \text{ m} \times 1.8 \text{ m}$ with a distance of 100 cm between the plots and 150 cm between the replications. Whole agronomic practices were applied uniformly in the field throughout the cropping season. The insecticides were sprayed at their recommended doses with knapsack sprayer (20 mL capacity) at economic threshold level (ETL) of gram pod borer (one larva per meter per row). Five randomly plants were selected from each plot and the population of gram pod borer was recorded from the damaged pods. The data was recorded at 1 day before treatment (pre-treatment) and 3, 5 & 7 days after treatment (post-treatment). The percentage mortality of gram pod borer was calculated with the following formula (Razaq et al., 2005). Mortality (%) $A - B/C \times 100$ _

where

A = Mean population in control

B = Mean population in treatment

C = Mean population in control

Statistical Analysis

The data was analyzed statistically by using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated by least significance difference (LSD) test at 5% probability level using computer software STATISTICS 8.1.

Results and Discussion

Results showed that all the insecticides significantly reduced the pod borer larval population. At 3 DAT, maximum mortality was recorded in plots treated with Coragen (85%) and Profenofos (85%) that a statistically at par followed by Lannate (80%), Emamectin (75%) and Match (65%). Like wise, both Coragen and Profenofos also gave significant highest mortality (90%) as compared to other tested insecticides such as Lannate (87%), Emamectin (80%) and Match (65%). Maximum mortality of gram pod borer was recorded in plots treated with Profenofos (94%) followed by Coragen (92%), Lannate (90%). Emamectin (85%) and Match (80%) at 7 DAT. No mortality was observed in the untreated plots at 3, 5 and 7 DAT. Thus, it is revealed that Profenofos and Coragen were the most effective insecticides to give high mortality

of gram pod borer on chickpea under field conditions. It was also observed that the efficacy of all insecticides was gradually increased with the passage of time (Table 2).

These results are in conformity with those of Chandrakar and Shrivastava (2002) and Sonune et al. (2010), who also reported the highest mortality of pod borer (94%) in pigeonpea with profenofos. In contrast, Sreekanth et al. (2014) reported that population of gram pod borer was lowest in plot treated with flubendiamide, chlorantraniliprole, spinosad and indoxacarb followed by profenofos and emamectin bonzoate. Our results are partially in agreement with Babariya et al. (2010), where profenofos exhibited good results after spinosad for the control of gram pod borer. The possible reason for profenofos best control is its unique mode of action. It is an anticholinesterase inhibitor that inhibits the normal functioning of cholinergic pathways. Hamadain and Chambers (2001) argue that the inhibition of acetylcholinesterase in that cholinergic synapse of the nervous system is the primary mechanism of acute toxicity of organophosphate insecticides that might gave the highest mortality of gram pod borer in the present study. A new chemistry insecticide Coragen (rynaxypyr) with different mode of action, high larvicidal potency and long-lasting activity might be the reason for prominent control of gram pod borer in this study. Khan et al. (2009) reported that methomyl can reduce the percentage reduction in pod borer infestation which is partially in confirmatory with our results where methomyl also revealed promising results against pod borer. The findings that Emamectin is also effective against pod borer is in agreement with Sharma et al. (2011), who concluded that emamectin, a green chemistry insecticide helped in achieving the less yield loss through reduction of pod borer population.

Conclusion

The present findings clearly indicated that all insecticides were effective against gram pod borer, up to seven days after treatment. However, Coragen and Profenofos gave maximum mortality of targeted insect pest at 3, 5 and 7 DAT. Hence, it is suggested that these effective insecticides may be suggested to the growers for management of the borer population below economic threshold level under field conditions. However, thorough investigation are necessary to test their specificity, environmental compatibility and insect pest resistance.

Acknowledgement

Regional Agricultural Research Institute Bahawalpur, Pakistan.

The author acknowledged the research ^{Bah} support of Muhammad Younis (Entomologist) at **Table 1:** Insecticides and their doses used in the experiment.

Treatments	Trade Name	Formulations	Common Name	Group/mode of action	Dose (Acre ⁻¹)
T_1	Emamectin	1.9 EC	emamectin benzoate	Avermectin (Nerve poison)	200 mL
T ₂	Lannate	40 SP	methomyl	Carbamates (Nerve poison: Acetyl- cholinesterase inhibitor	350 g
T ₃	Coragen	20 SP	rynaxypyr	Diamide (Nerve and muscle poison	80 mL
T_4	Match	50 EC	lufenuron	Benzoylureas (Insect growth regulators)	200 mL
T ₅	Profenofos	50 EC	profenofos	Organophosphates (Nerve poison: Acetyl- cholinesterase inhibitor	800 mL
T_6	Control	-	-	-	-

Table 2: Mean comparison of mortality of gram pod borer on chickpea at 3, 5 and 7 days after treatment (DAT).

Treatments		Mortality (%)			
		3 DAT	5 DAT	7 DAT	
T_1	Emamectin 1.9 EC	75 ±3.6 b	80±5.77 ab	85±5.50 ab	
T_2	Lannate 40 SP	80±2.8 ab	87±1.52 a	90±5.03 a	
T_3	Coragen 20 SP	85±1.15 a	90 ±2.88 a	92±3.21 a	
T_4	Match 50 EC	65±1.52 c	75 ±5.50 b	80 ±2.64 b	
T_5	Profenofos 50 EC	85±0.57 a	90 ±3.60 a	94±1.15 a	
T_6	Control	0 d	0 c	0 c	
	LSD at <i>P</i> ≤0.05	2.120	2.120	2.120	

The means under each insect population column sharing the same letter are not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$.

References

- Ahmed K, Awan MS, 2013. Integerated management of insect pests of chickpea *Cicer arietinum* (L.) Walp in South Asian Countries: Present status and future strategies - a review. *Pak. J. Zool.*, **45**: 1125-1145.
- Babariya PM, Kabaria BB, Patel VN, Joshi MD, 2010. Chemical control of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner infesting pigeonpea. *Legume Res.*, **33**: 224-226.
- Chandrakar HK, Shrivastava SK, 2002. Evaluation of some combinations of Match (lufenuron) against pod damaging pests in pigeonpea. J. *Appl. Zool. Res.*, **13**: 206-207.
- Hamadain EI, Chambers HW, 2001. Susceptibility and mechanisms underlying the relative tolerance to five organophosphorous insecticides in tobacco budworms and corn earworms. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.*, **69**: 35-47.

- Javed H, Iqbal J, Khan TM, 2013. Studies on population dynamics of insect pest of safflower, *Carthamus tinctorius* L. *Pak. J. Zool.*, **45**: 213-217.
- Khan S M, Inayatullah MY, Khan MA, 2009. Varietal screening of chickpea and the efficacy of different insecticides against chickpea pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (hb). *Gomal Univ. J. Res.*, **25**: 20-24.
- Lal OP, 1996. An outbreak of pod borer, *Heliothis* armigera (Hubner) on chickpea in eastern Uttar Pradesh (India). J. Entomol. Res., 20: 179-181.
- Muehlbauer FJ, Rajesh PN, 2008. Chickpea, a common source of protein and starch in the semi-arid tropics. In: Genomics of Tropical Crop Plants. Springer publishers, New York, pp. 171-186.
- Patankar AG, Giri AP, Harsulkar AM, Sainari MN, Deshpade VV, Ranjekar PK, Gupta VS, 2001. Complexity in specificities and expression of *Helicoverpa armigera* gut proteinases explains polyphagous nature of insect pest. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, **31**: 453-464.
- Pittaway JK, Ahuja KD, Cehun M, Chronopoulos A, Robertson I. K, Nestel PJ, Ball MJ, 2006. Dietary supplementation with chickpeas for at least 5 weeks results in small but significant reductions in serum total and low-density lipoprotein cholesterols in adult women and men. *Ann. Nutr. Metab.*, **50**: 512-518.
- Rao, RGV, Shanower TG, 1999. Identification and management of pigeonpea and chickpea insect pests in Asia. Information Bulletin no. 57. (In: En. Summaries in En, Fr.) Patancheru, 502 324, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, A.P. India.
- Razzaq M, Suhail A, Aslam M, Arif MJ, Ahmad MS, Khan MHA, 2005. Evaluation of new chemistry and conventional insecticides

against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on cotton at Multan (Pakistan). *Pak. Entomol.*, **27**: 71-73.

- Sarwar M, Ahmad N, Toufiq M, 2009. Host plant resistance relationships in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner). *Pak. J. Bot.*, **41**: 3047-3052.
- Sharma OP, Bhosle, BB, Kamble KR, Bhede BV, Seeras NR, 2011. Management of pigeonpea pod borers with special reference to pod fly (*Melanagromyza obtusa*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **81**: 539-543.
- Sonune VR, Bharodia RK, Jethva DM, Rathod DM, Deshmukh SG, 2010. Field efficacy of chemical insecticides against spotted pod borer, *Maruca vitrata* (Fabricius) infesting blackgram. *Legume Res. Int. J.*, **33**: 287-290.
- Sreekanth M, Lakshmi MSM, Rao YK, 2014. Bio-Efficacy and economics of certain new insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *Int. J. Plant Anim. Environ. Sci.*, **4**: 11-15.
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA, 1997. Principles and Procedure of Statistics. McGrow Hill Book Co., USA. pp. 178-182.
- Suhail A, Iqbal J, Arshad M, Gogi MD, Arif MJ, Shafait T, 2013. Comparative efficacy of insecticides as seed treatment against wheat aphid and its coccinellid predator. *Pak. Entomol.*, **35**: 17-22.
- Yadava C P, Lal SS, 1997. Studies on host plant resistance against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* in chickpea. In: Symposium on integrated pest management for sustainable crop production (2-4 December 1997), Souvenir and Abstract, Organised by Division of Entomology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India. pp. 37.